

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2008-031021-001 DT

08/15/2011

HON. SHERRY K. STEPHENS

CLERK OF THE COURT
Sa. Enteman
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

JUAN M MARTINEZ

v.

JODI ANN ARIAS (001)

JODI ANN ARIAS
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD
KIRK NURMI
VICTORIA ELISABETH WASHINGTON

CAPITAL CASE MANAGER
INMATE LEGAL SERVICES
VICTIM SERVICES DIV-CA-CCC

MINUTE ENTRY

11:03 a.m.

Courtroom ECB412

State's Attorney:	Juan Martinez
Defendant's Attorney:	Kirk Nurmi and Victoria Washington
Defendant:	Present

Court Reporter, Michael Babicky, is present.

A record of the proceeding is also made by audio and/or videotape.

Discussion held.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2008-031021-001 DT

08/15/2011

11:04 a.m. The Court stands at recess.

11:22 a.m. The Court reconvenes with respective counsel and the Defendant present.

Court Reporter, Michael Babicky, is present.

A record of the proceeding is also made by audio and/or videotape.

Defense case continues:

Rosemarie Urbanski is sworn and testifies.

The witness is excused.

The Defendant request to have her advisory counsel reinstated as counsel of record.

Discussion held.

IT IS ORDERED granting the Defendant's request to have her advisory counsel reinstated as counsel of record.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED appointing Kirk Nurmi and Victoria Washington to represent the Defendant for all further proceedings.

Counsel for the Defense withdraws their objection to the State's Motion to Preclude.

IT IS ORDERED granting the State's Motion to Preclude the Letters.

Court and counsel discuss scheduling issues.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT the prescreen questionnaires will begin August 29, 2011 and voir dire will begin September 6, 2011.

11:49 a.m. The Court stands at recess.

1:28 p.m. The Court reconvenes with respective counsel and the Defendant present.

Court Reporter, Michael Babicky, is present.

A record of the proceeding is also made by audio and/or videotape.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2008-031021-001 DT

08/15/2011

Discussion held.

The Court has received and reviewed Defense Motion to Sequester Jury, State's Response and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED denying Defense Motion to Sequester Jury.

The Court has received and reviewed Defense Motion for Individual Voir Dire, State's Response and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED denying Defense Motion for Individual Voir Dire. However, individual voir dire will be allowed as necessary.

The Court has received and reviewed Defense Motion in Limine Display of Nude Photographs, State's Response and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED as stated on the record.

The Court has received and reviewed State's Motion to Prohibit Counsel from asking Venire Persons Case Specific Questions, Defense Response and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED objections to be made on a question by question basis.

The Court has received and reviewed State's Motion in Limine Regarding Argument Relating to Weight of Mitigation Evidence, Defense Response and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED taking this motion under advisement at this time.

Court and counsel discuss and finalize juror questionnaire.

The Court has received and reviewed State's Motion to Preclude Defense Experts from Testifying regarding Premeditation, Defense Response and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED granting the State's Motion to Preclude.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2008-031021-001 DT

08/15/2011

The Court has received and reviewed State's Motion in Limine to Preclude Defense Experts from Testifying regarding Victims Sexual Indiscretion, Defense Response and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED granting the State's Motion in Limine to Preclude.

2:49 p.m. The Court stands at recess.

3:07 p.m. The Court reconvenes with respective counsel and the Defendant present.

Court Reporter, Michael Babicky, is present.

A record of the proceeding is also made by audio and/or videotape.

The Court has received and reviewed State's Motion in Limine to Preclude Lingering Doubt as a Mitigation Factor, Defense Response and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED granting the State's Motion to Preclude.

Counsel for the Defense makes an oral Motion to Preclude the State from arguing the Defendant's Pro Per Status, State has no objection and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED granting the Defense Motion to Preclude.

IT IS ORDERED setting Status Conference on August 25, 2011 at 8:30 a.m. before this division.

3:17 p.m. The Court stands at recess.

This case is eFiling eligible: <http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov/efiling/default.asp>. Attorneys are encouraged to review Supreme Court Administrative Orders 2010-117 and 2011-10 to determine their mandatory participation in eFiling through AZTurboCourt.